| | | | Suc Dump. |
|--|--|---|---|
| CITY OF GREAT FALLS PLANNING & COMMUNITY P.O. BOX 5021, GREAT 406.455.8430 • WI | Y DEVELOPMENT DEPT. Falls, MT, 59403 5021 ww.greatfallsmt.net | | |
| LAND USE | APPLICATION | | 14 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 |
| Silver Stone Apa | artments | | *Will need to submit administrative plat app. for BL |
| Name of Project (if appli | icable): | | Preliminary Plat, Major: \$1,500 + \$50/ld |
| NE Corner of 38 | th St/2nd Ave N In | tersection | □ Final Plat, Major: \$1,500 + \$25/lot □ Minor Subdivision: \$1.250 |
| Project Address | | | Zoning Map Amendment: \$2,000 |
| Silver Stone Ent | erprises | | Conditional Use Permit: \$1,500 Planned Unit Development: \$2,000 |
| Applicant/Owner Name: | | | Amended Plat, Non-administrative: \$1,00 |
| 4104 15th Ave 3 | S., Great Falls MT 5 | 9405 | |
| Mailing Address: | | | |
| 406-868-5614 | | trace.silv | verstone@amail.com |
| Phone: | | Email: | |
| 14 | | | |
| Kevin May, P.E. | - Bia Sky Civil & Env | vironmenta | |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: | - Big Sky Civil & En | vironmenta | I, Inc. |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 | - Big Sky Civil & En | vironmenta kmay@t | l, Inc. |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: | - Big Sky Civil & En | vironmenta <u>kmay@t</u> _{Email:} | l, Inc. bigskyce.com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT | - Big Sky Civil & Env | vironmenta <u>kmay@t</u> Email: | l, Inc. bigskyce.com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 | - Big Sky Civil & Env | vironmenta kmay@t Email: | l, Inc. bigskyce.com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: | - Big Sky Civil & Env | vironmenta kmay@t Email: | l, Inc. bigskyce.com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section | - Big Sky Civil & Env TION: on 9, Township 20 N | vironmenta <u>kmay@t</u> <u>Email:</u> orth, Range | l, Inc. bigskyce.com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section Section/Township/Rang | - Big Sky Civil & Env TION: on 9, Township 20 Notes | vironmenta <u>kmay@t</u> Email: orth, Range | l, Inc. bigskyce.com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section Section/Township/Range DNING(ZONING MA | - Big Sky Civil & Env TON: on 9, Township 20 Notes: | vironmenta kmay@t Email: orth, Range | l, Inc. bigskyce.com e 4 East |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section Section/Township/Rang DNING(ZONING MA C-1/R-3/County (| - Big Sky Civil & Env TON: on 9, Township 20 N ge: P AMENDMENT ONLY): C C-1 & R-6 | vironmenta kmay@t Email: orth, Range | I, Inc. Digskyce.com e 4 East JSE(CONDITIONAL USE ONLY): R-6 Res/C-1 Com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section Section/Township/Rang DNING(ZONING MA C-1/R-3/County (Current: | - Big Sky Civil & Env TION: on 9, Township 20 Na ge: P AMENDMENT ONLY): C C-1 & R-6 Proposed: | vironmenta kmay@t Email: orth, Range LAND U Vacant | e 4 East JSE(CONDITIONAL USE ONLY): R-6 Res/C-1 Com |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section Section/Township/Rang DNING(ZONING MA C-1/R-3/County (Current: | - Big Sky Civil & Env TION: on 9, Township 20 No ge: P AMENDMENT ONLY): C C-1 & R-6 Proposed: | vironmenta kmay@t Email: orth, Range LAND U Vacant Current: | I, Inc. Digskyce.com e 4 East JSE(CONDITIONAL USE ONLY): R-6 Res/C-1 Com Proposed: |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section Section/Township/Range DNING(ZONING MA C-1/R-3/County (Current: I (We), the undersigned, further understand that the approval of the application costs for land development applicable per City Ordin (our) knowledge | - Big Sky Civil & Env 'ION: on 9, Township 20 No ge: P AMENDMENT ONLY): C C-1 & R-6 Proposed: understand that the filing fee he fee pays for the cost of prod on. I (We) further understand ent projects are my (our) respondent projects | vironmenta kmay@t Email: orth, Range LAND U Vacant Current: accompanying to cessing, and the that public hear onsibility. I (We the above infor | I, Inc. Digskyce.com e 4 East USE(CONDITIONAL USE ONLY): R-6 Res/C-1 Com Proposed: his application is not refundable. I (We) fee does not constitute a payment for ting notice requirements and associated b) further understand that other fees may be mation is true and correct to the best of my $\frac{8/8/2022}{Date:}$ |
| Kevin May, P.E. Representative Name: 406-727-2185 Phone: EGAL DESCRIPT Tracts 1 & 2 Lot/Block/Subdivision: NW 1/4 of Section Section/Township/Range DNING(ZONING MA C-1/R-3/County Current: I (We), the undersigned, further understand that the approval of the application costs for land development applicable per City Ordin (our) knowledge | - Big Sky Civil & Env TION: on 9, Township 20 Nage: P AMENDMENT ONLY): C C-1 & R-6 Proposed: understand that the filing fee he fee pays for the cost of prod on. I (We) further understand ent projects are my (our) respondent projects are my (our) respondent nances. I (We) also attest that ture: | vironmenta kmay@t Email: orth, Range LAND U Vacant Current: accompanying t cessing, and the that public hear onsibility. I (We t the above infor | I, Inc. Digskyce.com e 4 East USE(CONDITIONAL USE ONLY): R-6 Res/C-1 Com Proposed: this application is not refundable. I (We) fee does not constitute a payment for ting notice requirements and associated b) further understand that other fees may be mation is true and correct to the best of my $\frac{8/8/2022}{Date:}$ 8/4/2022 |

August 8, 2022

Mr. Lonnie Hill City of Great Falls Planning & Community Development Department PO Box 5021 Great Falls, MT 59403

RE: Silver Stone Enterprises – Silver Stone Apartments Annexation & Zoning Application – Project Narrative

Dear Mr. Hill,

We are pleased to submit the Silver Stone Apartments application for Annexation & Zoning Map Amendment. The proposed project consists of the construction of twelve (12) separate 36-unit apartment buildings for a total unit count of 432 living units. In addition to the apartment buildings, the project will also include an office/clubhouse building to support the development. Individual apartment buildings will be 3 story buildings (above-ground) and will include basement storage areas.

The subject development site is approximately 16.348 acres and includes a 0.684 acre parcel that is currently annexed into the City of Great Falls with split zoning (C-1 & R-3) as well as a 15.665 acre undeveloped and un-annexed property that currently lies within the Cascade County Commercial zoning district. The property sits along the northern boundary of 2nd Avenue North between 38th St. N. & 42nd St. N. as detailed in the attached vicinity maps.

The proposed project will include a Boundary Line Adjustment survey to relocate the common property boundary to create a 4.574 acre southern parcel with proposed C-1 zoning along with an 11.774 acre northern parcel with proposed R-6 zoning to allow for the development of the proposed apartment complex. The proposed C-1 zoning along the southern property boundary will maintain consistency with the adjacent commercial zoning and allows flexibility for future apartment development or commercial development if deemed financially feasible. The northern property with proposed R-6 zoning is consistent with the adjacent R-6 zoning and allows for the proposed apartment development. The site and development have been extensively discussed with City Planning staff to help ensure all required design criteria are met in the enclosed proposal.

Traffic Impacts

As detailed in the attached Traffic Impact Study (TIS) report, the proposed development is expected to generate approximately 1,971 vehicular trips per day with peak AM and peak PM trips of 138 and 177 trips respectively. The addition of the vehicular trips detailed above will have impacts to the existing intersections at 3rd Ave. N./38th St. N, 2nd Ave. N./38th St. N., and Central Ave./38th St. N. As detailed within the report, the anticipated traffic generation from the development will impact existing Level of Service for various turning movements; however, the overall intersections will continue to operate at acceptable levels following development.

The Central Avenue/38th St. N. intersection will likely require traffic mitigating features to alleviate LOS concerns at the intersection; however, these traffic concerns exist prior to the

development of the apartment complex. Refer to the attached TIS report for additional information.

Compliance with Stormwater Regulations

The proposed apartment complex will be required to comply with City of Great Falls storm drainage regulations associated with water quality and water quantity. As detailed within the attached preliminary storm drainage calculations, storm drainage improvements associated with the development will include approximately 20,000 cubic feet of retention storage (water quality requirements) and will include additional detention storage in order to comply with the City's discharge standards. It is anticipated that the required retention and detention storage will be located within the NW corner of the development as shown in the attached exhibits where collected runoff will be treated and detained prior to discharge into the existing City of Great Falls storm drainage infrastructure adjacent to the development. It is anticipated that additional stormwater storage and treatment will be provided within landscaped areas throughout the development and a new underground piping system will be utilized to convey collected storm water to the new pond structure(s). Please refer to the preliminary infrastructure plans for additional information.

Conceptual Public Infrastructure Plans

As detailed within the attached preliminary infrastructure plans, a new water main will be extended through the property to connect to existing City of Great Falls infrastructure at three (3) separate locations to provide water service to each of the new buildings along with hydrant coverage throughout the development. The proposed water main looping will provide adequate hydraulic capacity for the development, will provide additional reliability within the City's existing system, and will eliminate an existing dead end water main on 3rd Ave. North. Additionally, existing hydrant flow information provided by the CoGF shows adequate hydraulic capacity to serve the proposed development.

Existing sewer mains will be extended as shown on the preliminary plans to provide sewer service connections for the internal buildings (buildings 7-12 & Clubhouse) while buildings along the northern property boundary (buildings 1-6) will be directly connected to existing gravity sewer mains within the City alley. Preliminary wastewater generation and water demand estimates are included below.

New water and sewer mains will be located within dedicated utility easements as required by the City of Great Falls and as shown within the preliminary BLA survey.

| Wastewater Generation | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Domestic WW Generation | 108,000 gpd | | | | | | |
| Average Flow Rate | 75 gpm | | | | | | |
| Peak Hourly Flow Rate | 284 gpm | | | | | | |
| Water Dem | and | | | | | | |
| Total Water Demand (includes | 144,000 gpd | | | | | | |
| summer irrigation demand) | | | | | | | |
| Average Domestic Flow Rate | 75 gpm | | | | | | |
| Peak Hourly Domestic | 284 gpm | | | | | | |
| Irrigation Demand | 50 gpm | | | | | | |
| Hydrant Flow Rate | 1,500 gpm | | | | | | |

Anticipated Water Demand/Wastewater Generation Calculations

Preliminary Soils/Geotechnical Information

A new geotechnical report is currently being drafted for the proposed development; however, a preliminary report was written for Neighborworks Great Falls (NWGF) by TD&H Engineering in 2013 that is attached for reference.

As detailed in the draft NWGF report, the site generally consists of surficial native clays and sands overlying weathered sandstone which was encountered at depths ranging from 7-12 feet throughout the site. The proposed apartment complex which utilizes basements will effectively remove the expansive clay materials and will allow the buildings to bear on the native sandstones. It does not appear as though groundwater was encountered within the original borings and it is not anticipated that groundwater will impact the proposed construction of the apartments or public utilities.

Project Phasing

It is currently anticipated that the project will be constructed in three separate phases with four apartment buildings per phase; however, project phasing is subject to change based on material availability and other financial factors.

Summary

The proposed site layout provides ample landscaping throughout the parcel to ensure an attractive development which meets the City's landscaping codes and the new construction will include the installation of sidewalks along 2nd Ave. North adjacent to MDT R/W. Pedestrian access will be enhanced throughout the project area as well as within the development to allow for ADA access throughout the area. Onsite parking lot and building lighting will be downward facing to help minimize offsite impacts and will be designed to meet CoGF standards.

Included with this application are an existing site plan, proposed site plan, preliminary infrastructure plans, architectural site plan, building elevations, preliminary geotechnical analysis; preliminary stormwater, water demand, and wastewater generation calculations; and Traffic Impact Study for your review. Please feel free to call me or our representatives at Big Sky Civil & Environmental with any questions or comments you may have related to this submittal. Thank you for your continued cooperation on this project.

Sincerely,

Trace Timmer Silver Stone Enterprises



| BUILDING UNIT SU | JMMARY | | | | | | | | | | | | | PARKING | CALCULATIO | ON | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|---|
| DESCRIPTION | BUILDING #1 | BUILDING #2 | BUILDING #3 | BUILDING #4 | BUILDING #5 | BUILDING #6 | BUILDING #7 | BUILDING #8 | BUILDING #9 | BUILDING #10 | BUILDING #11 | BUILDING #12 | TOTAL | UNIT TYPE | # OF UNITS | RATIO | Γ |
| STUDIO | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 72 | STUDIO | 72 | 1 PER UNIT | |
| 1 BEDROOM | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 72 | 1 BEDROOM | 72 | 1.35 PER UNIT | |
| 2 BEDROOM | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 216 | 2 BEDROOM | 216 | 2 PER UNIT | |
| 2 BEDROOM (CORNER) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 72 | 2 BEDROOM (CORNER) | 72 | 2 PER UNIT | |
| TOTAL UNITS | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 432 | | | | |
| APARTMENT AREA - SF | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 455,472 | TOTAL PARKING | | | |
| BASEMENT AREA - SF | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 151,824 | | | | |
| TOTAL BUILDING AREA | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 607,296 | | | | |
| ACTUAL PARKING | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 746 | | | | |

| | SETBACKS: | | LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS: | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| 712,119 SF | REQUIREDFRONT YARD SETBACK:???SIDE YARD SETBACK:???REAR YARD SETBACK:???SIDE YARD SETBACK:??? | ACTUAL | <u>REQUIRED:</u> 15 % (0.15) OF TOTAL LOT AREA REQUIRED | 684,066 SF x 0.1 |
| | BUILDING COVERAGE.: | | PROVIDED: TOTAL LANDSCAPE AREA | |
| 712,119 SF 199,243 SF | APARTMENT BUILDINGS (FOOTPRINTS - 12 BUILDINGS) OFFICE / CLUBHOUSE / MAINTENANCE BUILDING TOTAL FOOTPRINTS | 151,824 SF 4,800 SF 156,624 SF | 228,367 SF DIVIDED BY 712,119 = 0.321 OR 32.1 % | |
| | 152,064 SF DIVIDED BY 712,119 SF = 0.214 or 21.4 % | | | |



PHASE ONE - SITE PLAN SCALE: 1" = 60'-0" 0 10 20 30 60 120

| PHASE ONE - BUIL | DING UNI | T SUMMA | RY | | | | | | | | | | | PARKING | CALCULATIC | N | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|---|
| DESCRIPTION | BUILDING #1 | BUILDING #2 | BUILDING #3 | BUILDING #4 | BUILDING #5 | BUILDING #6 | BUILDING #7 | BUILDING #8 | BUILDING #9 | BUILDING #10 | BUILDING #11 | BUILDING #12 | TOTAL | UNIT TYPE | # OF UNITS | RATIO | |
| STUDIO | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | 24 | STUDIO | 72 | 1 PER UNIT | |
| 1 BEDROOM | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | 24 | 1 BEDROOM | 72 | 1.35 PER UNIT | Τ |
| 2 BEDROOM | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | | | | | | | | | 72 | 2 BEDROOM | 216 | 2 PER UNIT | |
| 2 BEDROOM (CORNER) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | 24 | 2 BEDROOM (CORNER) | 72 | 2 PER UNIT | |
| TOTAL UNITS | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 144 | | | | |
| APARTMENT AREA - SF | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | 37,956 | | | | | | | | | 455,472 | TOTAL PARKING | | | |
| BASEMENT AREA - SF | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | 12,652 | | | | | | | | | 151,824 | | | | |
| TOTAL BUILDING AREA | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | 50,608 | | | | | | | | | 202,432 | | | | |
| ACTUAL PARKING | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | | | | | | | | | 250 | | | | |

ZONING REQUIREMENTS LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LOT ACREAGE: 16.348 ACRES or 7 TO BE DETERMINED TOTAL PROPERTY: PROPERTY ADDRESS: ZONING & LAND USE: R-6 MULTI-FAMILY HIGH DENSITY TO BE DETERMINED 11.774 ACRES or 7 C-1 NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL 4.574 ACRES or 7

2ND AVENUE NORTH



| | SETBACKS: | | LANDSCAPE REQUIREM | ENTS: | | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|--|--|
| 712,119 SF | REQUIREDFRONT YARD SETBACK:???SIDE YARD SETBACK:???REAR YARD SETBACK:???SIDE YARD SETBACK:??? | ACTUAL | <u>REQUIRED:</u> 15 % (0.15) OF TOTAL LOT AREA REQUIRED | 684,066 SF x 0.1 | | |
| | BUILDING COVERAGE.: | | TOTAL LANDSCAPE AREA | | | |
| 712,119 SF 199,243 SF | APARTMENT BUILDINGS (FOOTPRINTS - 12 BUILDINGS) OFFICE / CLUBHOUSE / MAINTENANCE BUILDING TOTAL FOOTPRINTS 152,064 SF DIVIDED BY 712,119 SF = 0.214 or 21.4 % | 151,824 SF 4,800 SF 156,624 SF | TOTAL LANDSCAPE AREA 228,367 SF DIVIDED BY 712,119 = 0.321 OR 32.1 % | | | |





PARTIAL EXTERIOR ELEVATION - SOUTH SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

| GENERAL NOTES |
|--|
| 1. ACTUAL FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL TO BE 100'-0" OR |
| 2. ALL DIMENSIONS TO FACE OF STUDS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE |
| 3. GENERAL CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO FABRICATION. |
| 4. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND COORDINATE THE WORK OF ALL TRADES INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT AS PART OF THE CONTRACT. |
| 5. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE AND REPORT ALL DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. |
| 6. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COMPLETE AND PROPER EXECUTION OF THE WORK AS INDICATED ON ALL DRAWINGS. IF ERRORS IN LAYOUT DIMENSIONS OR DETAILS ARE FOUND BETWEEN ARCHITECTURAL, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, OR ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS CONTACT THE ARCHITECT IMMEDIATELY. |
| 7. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO COORDINATE WITH THE OWNERS WORK AND/OR SUPPLIED ITEMS THAT ARE "OWNER FURNISHED CONTRACTOR INSTALLED" (O.F.C.I.) OR ARE "NOT IN CONTRACT" (N.I.C.) BUT ARE ATTACHED TO THE CONTRACTOR'S WORK. |
| 8. ALL LARGE SCALE DRAWINGS & DETAILS GOVERN OR SUPERSEDE ALL SMALLER SCALE DRAWINGS & DETAILS. |

| EXTER | RIOR FINISH LEGEND | | | | |
|--------|---|--------|---|-----|--|
| ROOF M | IATERIALS | WALL N | IATERIALS | | |
| R1 | PREMANUFACTURED METAL ROOF PANELS FACTORY FINISH STYLE: LOW SLOPE STANDING SEAM ROOF PANEL COLOR: METAL SALES - WEATHERED COPPER (W50) | CWP1) | COMPOSITE WALL PANELS STYLE: NICHIHA - VINTAGE WOOD COLOR - BARK SIZE: 17 7/8" H x 6'-0" & 10'-0" LENGTHS | SV1 | STONE VENEER - F STYLE: CULTURED COLOR - ECHO RIDO |
| R2 | METAL FASCIA & ROOF EDGE FLASHING FACTORY FINISH COLOR: METAL SALES - WEATHERED COPPER (W50) | CWP2) | COMPOSITE WALL PANELS STYLE: NICHIHA - TUFF BLOCK COLOR - PEWTER SIZE: 17 7/8" H x 6'-0" & 10'-0" LENGTHS | SV2 | PRECAST CONCRE STYLE / COLOR - VE |
| R3 | METAL GUTTER & DOWNSPOUT - PREFINISHED FACTORY FINISH COLOR: METAL SALES - WEATHERED COPPER (W50) SEE ROOF PLAN FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | MP1 | PRE-MANUFACTURED METAL PANEL & TRIM STYLE: T10-D - VERTICAL PLACEMENT COLOR: METAL SALES - WEATHERED COPPER (W50) | | |
| R4 | METAL PARAPET CAP - PREFINISHED FACTORY FINISH COLOR: METAL SALES - WEATHERED COPPER (W50) | (MP2) | PRE-MANUFACTURED METAL PANEL & TRIM STYLE: ??? COLOR: METAL SALES - ??? | | |







ENGINEERING, REIMAGINED

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

Silverstone Apartments

Great Falls, MT

August 2022

Prepared For: Big Sky Civil & Environmental, Inc. P.O. Box 3625 Great Falls, MT 59403



Table of Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|-----------------------------|----|
| Existing Conditions | 2 |
| Future Conditions | 6 |
| Traffic Operations Analysis | 8 |
| Summary | 11 |
| Recommendation | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1 - Study Area | 1 |
|--|---|
| Figure 2 - Proposed Development | 2 |
| Figure 3 - Study Intersections | 3 |
| Figure 4 - Speed Limit in the Study Area | 4 |
| Figure 5 - Existing Daily Traffic | 5 |
| Figure 6 - Trip Distribution by Percent | 8 |

List of Tables

| Table 1 – Existing 2022 Traffic Volumes | 6 |
|---|----|
| Table 2 – Projected 2027 Background Traffic Volumes | 6 |
| Table 3 - Proposed Development Trip Generation | 7 |
| Table 4 - Projected Trip Distribution | 7 |
| Table 5 - Projected 2027 Build Volumes | 8 |
| Table 6 - Intersection Delay and LOS Thresholds | 9 |
| Table 7 - Traffic Operation Results 2027 | 10 |

List of Appendices

| Appendix A – Raw Traffic Volume | А |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Appendix B – Synchro Results | В |
| Appendix C – Warrant Analysis | С |

Introduction

This study has been prepared to evaluate the traffic impacts associated with the proposed apartment complex in Great Falls, MT. The development is located at the north-east quadrant of the intersection of 2nd Ave N and 38th St N (Figure 1). The subject property is currently undeveloped. It is surrounded by commercial, residential, and industrial land uses. Access to the proposed development will be provided via 2nd Ave N and 38th St N.



Source: MDT, KLJ, ESRI

Objective

The objective of this report is to document the methodology and findings of the traffic impacts at the following four intersections:

- 1. 3rd Ave N and 38th St N (Side-Street Stop Controlled)
- 2. 2nd Ave N and 38th St N (Signal Controlled)
- 3. Central Ave and 38th St N (All-way Stop Controlled)
- 4. Proposed Driveway at 2nd Ave N located east of 38th St N (Side Street Stop)

Proposed Development

The proposed development is comprised of 12 three-story buildings with 36 units in each. It is located to the north of 2nd Ave N and to the east of 38th St N in Great Falls, MT. Currently, the land is undeveloped, but the first phase of building will begin in the spring of 2023, with the first four buildings being complete by the spring of 2024. Each phase will see four of the twelve buildings completed. The next phase of buildings will be ready for occupancy in the fall of 2025, with the last phase being completed in the spring of 2027.





Existing Conditions

Study Intersection Configurations

Intersection of 3^{rd} Ave N with 38^{th} St N

The intersection of 3rd Ave N with 38th St N is currently a T-intersection controlled by stop control with one stop on the 3rd Ave N approach to 38th St N. The existing lane configuration of the intersection includes:

- » Northbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane
- » Southbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane
- » Eastbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane
- » Westbound: No westbound approach currently exists. A westbound access to the site will be added with the completion of the development. The lane configuration is expected to be one shared left/thru/right-turn lane with two-way stop control.



Source: MDT. KLJ. ESRI

Intersection of 2nd Ave N with 38th St N

The intersection of 2nd Ave N with 38th St N is currently controlled by an actuated traffic signal. The existing lane configuration of the intersection includes:

- Northbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane »
- Southbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane »
- Eastbound: One left turn, one thru-lane, and one shared thru/right turn lane »
- Westbound: One left turn, one thru-lane, and one shared thru/right turn lane. »

Intersection of Central Ave with 38th St N

The intersection of Central Ave with 38th St N is currently controlled by All-way Stop. The existing lane configuration of the intersection includes:

- Northbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane »
- Southbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane »
- Eastbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane **»**
- Westbound: One shared left/thru/right-turn lane »



Figure 4 - Speed Limit in the Study Area

Source: MDT. KLJ. ESRI

Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities

There are currently no sidewalks on the east approach of 2nd Ave N and 38th St N intersection. All other approaches have sidewalks on both sides of the road. The Great Falls Transit District (GFTD) provides bus transportation to Great Falls. Buses travel throughout the community along seven routes. Services are available Monday through Saturday (except holidays). Currently there are no bus routes that travel or stop along 38th St N and 2nd Ave N. Route 2 travels along Central Ave and crosses 38th St N.

The proposed development is expected to increase pedestrian access within the area by constructed sidewalks along the northern boundary of 2nd Ave N and internally as well. Additionally, a new sidewalk connection is planned to be extended to the existing facilities located adjacent to 40th St N along the northern boundary of the proposed development. The planned sidewalks are expected to meet *Americans with Disabilities Act* (ADA) design standards for accessibility.

Traffic Volumes

KLJ Engineering conducted 13-hour intersection level traffic counts at the intersections of 3rd Ave N and 2nd Ave N with 38th St N on weekdays in March 2022. Traffic volume for the intersection of Central Ave with 38th St N was collected by the City of Great Falls in June 2022. The land use east of the intersection is surrounded by several

July 2022

schools: Montana School for the Deaf and Blind; Lewis Clark Elementary School; and East Middle School. Traffic counts were collected during the last week of schools being in session. The existing daily traffic volumes in the study area are shown in **Figure 5**. The raw traffic volume profiles of the study intersections are included in **Appendix A**. Minor corridor volume discrepancies existed between the study intersections. For these reasons, the intersection volumes were balanced to mitigate these minor discrepancies. The *AM peak* was observed between 7:15AM and 8:15AM, and *PM peak* was observed between 4:30 PM and 5:30 PM. The existing intersection level traffic volumes in the study area for *AM* and *PM peak* are shown in **Table 1**.



Source: MDT, KLJ, ESRI

July 2022

| Intercetion | Dook | Traffic Control | NB Approach | | | SB | Approa | ach | EB | Appro | ach | WB Approach | | | |
|---|------|--------------------|-------------|-----|----|----|--------|-----|----|-------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|--|
| Intersection | Реак | | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | |
| 38 th St N & 3 rd | AM | 222 | 1 | 261 | - | - | 320 | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | |
| Ave | PM | 222 | 5 | 356 | - | - | 362 | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | - | |
| 38 th St N & 2 nd | AM | Signalized | 45 | 171 | 50 | 86 | 195 | 42 | 8 | 235 | 79 | 35 | 284 | 83 | |
| Ave | PM | | 75 | 246 | 49 | 82 | 235 | 47 | 10 | 193 | 88 | 63 | 312 | 105 | |
| 38 th St N & | AM | | 37 | 199 | 57 | 55 | 238 | 16 | 25 | 199 | 36 | 39 | 144 | 42 | |
| Central Ave | PM | AWSC | 14 | 310 | 46 | 15 | 330 | 41 | 31 | 68 | 82 | 14 | 39 | 29 | |
| Access on 2nd | AM | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 371 | - | - | 402 | - | |
| Ave | PM | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 324 | - | - | 480 | - | |

Table 1 – Existing 2022 Traffic Volumes

NB - Northbound; SB - Southbound; EB - Eastbound; WB - Westbound; L - Left; T - Through; R - Right; SSS - Side Street Stop; AWSC - All Way Stop Control

Crash History

Reviewing historic crash information can help identify existing deficiencies that can be addressed through this study. Five years of crash records between January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2020, were requested from MDT for the study intersections. There were 22 crashes reported at the intersection of 38th St N with 2nd Ave N between 2016 and 2020. This corresponds to about five crashes per year. Most crashes (27%) were observed during the *AM peak*. Right angle crashes (50-percent) were the most prominent type of crashes. This included five crashes interacted by vehicles travelling southbound and eastbound. There were no fatal, serious injury, pedestrian, or bicycle related crashes reported during the analysis period. There were no crashes reported at the intersection of 38th St N with 3rd Ave N.

Future Conditions

Background Growth

A key component of traffic forecasting is using regional travel demand models. The Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) in the study area has varied between 2006 and 2021. In the absence of travel demand models, a conservative annual traffic volume growth rate of one-percent was applied on all approaches of the study intersections to project background traffic volumes in 2027. The projected background traffic volumes at the study intersections in 2027 are summarized in **Table 2**.

| | Deels | Traffic | NB Approach | | | SB | Approa | ach | EB | Appro | ach | WE | 3 Appro | ach |
|---|-------|------------|-------------|-----|----|----|--------|-----|----|-------|-----|----|---------|-----|
| Intersection | Реак | Control | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R |
| 38 th St N & 3 rd | AM | SSS | 1 | 274 | - | - | 336 | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Ave | PM | | 5 | 374 | - | - | 380 | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| 38 th St N & 2 nd | AM | Signalized | 47 | 180 | 53 | 90 | 205 | 44 | 8 | 247 | 83 | 37 | 298 | 87 |
| Ave | PM | | 79 | 259 | 51 | 86 | 247 | 49 | 11 | 203 | 92 | 66 | 328 | 110 |
| 38 th St N & | AM | | 39 | 209 | 60 | 58 | 250 | 17 | 26 | 209 | 38 | 41 | 151 | 44 |
| Central Ave | PM | AWSC | 15 | 326 | 48 | 16 | 347 | 43 | 33 | 71 | 86 | 15 | 41 | 30 |
| Access on 2nd | AM | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 390 | - | - | 423 | - |
| Ave | PM | 335 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 341 | - | - | 504 | - |

Table 2 – Projected 2027 Background Traffic Volumes

NB – Northbound; SB – Southbound; EB – Eastbound; WB – Westbound; L – Left; T – Through; R – Right; SSS – Side Street Stop; AWSC – All Way Stop Control

Trip Generation

To account for future vehicular trips generated by the proposed development, ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition was utilized to calculate trips generated based on the land use characteristics that most closely fit the proposed development. The number of vehicular trips the development will generate during the following time periods were calculated for weekday AM and PM peak. The estimated trips generated by the proposed development is summarized in Table 3. The proposed development is expected to generate 138, 177, and 2,350 vehicular trips in the daily AM peak, PM peak, and daily, respectively.

| Facility Type | Variable | # | ITE Land Use | In (AM) | Out (AM) | ln (PM) | Out (PM) | In (Daily) | Out (Daily) | |
|---------------|----------|-----|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| American | Dwelling | 422 | 221 | 38 | 100 | 106 | 71 | 1175 | 1175 | |
| Apartment | Unit | 432 | 221 | 1 | .38 | 1 | 77 | 2,350 | | |

Trip Distribution and Assignment

The origins and destinations of site-generated traffic were estimated based on prevailing travel patterns and trips being assigned to the roadway network using engineering judgment, estimating the most ideal and reasonable route between origins and destination (illustrated in Figure 6). The peak hour trips generated by the proposed development is illustrated in Table 4.

| i able 4 – Projected Trip Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------------|-------------|----|----|----|--------|-----|----|-------|-----|----|---------|-----|
| Intersection | Peak | Traffic | NB Approach | | | SB | Approa | ach | EB | Appro | ach | WE | 3 Appro | ach |
| Intersection | | Control | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R |
| 38 th St N & 3 rd | AM | | 0 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| Ave | PM | 222 | 0 | 9 | 24 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 7 |
| 38 th St N & 2 nd | AM | Signalized | 0 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| Ave | PM | | 0 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 17 |
| 38 th St N & | AM | | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Central Ave | PM | AWSC | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Access on 2nd | AM | 222 | _ | - | - | 25 | - | 45 | 12 | 6 | - | _ | 3 | 10 |
| Ave | PM | 222 | - | - | - | 18 | - | 32 | 33 | 4 | - | - | 7 | 29 |

Destante d'Tata Distributi

NB - Northbound; SB - Southbound; EB - Eastbound; WB - Westbound; L - Left; T - Through; R - Right; SSS - Side Street Stop; AWSC - All Way Stop Control Green - Incoming; Red - Outgoing; Blue - Incoming and Outgoing



Traffic Volumes

The Build traffic volumes for the AM and PM peak are illustrated in Table 5.

| Table 5 - Projected 2027 Build Volumes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------------|-------------|-----|----|----|--------|-----|----|-------|-----|----|---------|-----|
| Intersection | Dook | Traffic | NB Approach | | | SB | Approa | ach | EB | Appro | ach | WE | 3 Appro | ach |
| Intersection | Peak | Control | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R | L | Т | R |
| 38 th St N & 3 rd | AM | CCC | 1 | 287 | 9 | 7 | 339 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 10 |
| Ave | PM | 555 | 5 | 383 | 24 | 20 | 388 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 7 |
| 38 th St N & 2 nd | AM | | 47 | 186 | 55 | 99 | 211 | 52 | 8 | 254 | 83 | 52 | 315 | 103 |
| Ave | PM | Signalized | 79 | 275 | 55 | 99 | 251 | 55 | 12 | 223 | 92 | 77 | 340 | 127 |
| 38 th St N & | AM | | 39 | 215 | 60 | 60 | 267 | 19 | 27 | 209 | 38 | 41 | 151 | 45 |
| Central Ave | PM | AWSC | 15 | 342 | 48 | 17 | 359 | 44 | 35 | 71 | 86 | 15 | 41 | 32 |
| Access on 2nd | AM | 222 | - | - | - | 25 | - | 45 | 12 | 396 | - | - | 426 | 10 |
| Ave | PM | 222 | - | - | - | 18 | - | 32 | 33 | 345 | - | - | 511 | 29 |

NB – Northbound; SB – Southbound; EB – Eastbound; WB – Westbound; L – Left; T – Through; R – Right; SSS – Side Street Stop; AWSC – All Way Stop Control

Traffic Operations Analysis

Traffic Operations Methodology

The traffic conditions in the network will be affected by the proposed development. Traffic operational and queuing analysis results are described as a *Level of Service* (LOS) ranging from "A to F" with "A" operating with the least delay and "F" indicating a breakdown in operations. LOS is determined based on methodology in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM), which defines the LOS based on control delay. LOS "E" or worse is considered poor or unacceptable, in accordance with the Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) standards. The LOS and its associated intersection delay for unsignalized and signalized intersections as defined by HCM are shown in **Table 6**.

| Table 6 - Intersection Delay and LOS Thresholds | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.05 | Control Delay Per Vehicle (sec.) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | Unsignalized Intersection | Signalized Intersection | | | | | | | | | |
| А | ≤ 10 | ≤ 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| В | > 10 and ≤ 15 | > 10 and ≤ 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| С | > 15 and ≤ 25 | > 20 and ≤ 35 | | | | | | | | | |
| D | > 25 and ≤ 35 | > 35 and ≤ 55 | | | | | | | | | |
| E | > 35 and ≤ 50 | > 55 and ≤ 80 | | | | | | | | | |
| F | > 50 | > 80 | | | | | | | | | |

For signalized intersections, the LOS is based on the average stopped delay per vehicle. The procedures used to evaluate signalized intersections use detailed information on geometry, lane use, signal timing, peak hour volumes, arrival types, and other parameters. This information is then used to calculate delay and determine the capacity of each intersection. LOS for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is undefined by HCM. For two-way stop-controlled intersection. Conversely, vehicles turning left or crossing the major street from the minor street experience more delay than other movements, at times experiencing significant delay. Vehicles turning right on the minor street experience less delay than those turning left from the same approach. Due to this scenario, the LOS assigned to a two-way stop-controlled intersection in this study is based on the average delay experienced by left turn vehicles of all approaches, and delay experienced by minor approach vehicles crossing the major approach.

Queuing of vehicles at intersections can have serious traffic safety implications if expected queues exceed available storage. For example, if projected queuing for a left turning movement exceeds available storage in the turn lane, the queue can extend into the through lane and cause safety concerns with potential rear end crashes. Excessive queuing can also impede business, other private, or public access to and from the road. Queuing analyses can determine whether queues are expected to dissipate during a signal cycle or on stop condition approaches, which can inform on the potential need for additional through lanes or other improvements. The following criteria was used to identify "queuing issues" for movements.

A queueing issue was identified if any of the five conditions were met:

- » Condition 1: 95th percentile queue length exceeds storage length, and the movements operate worse than LOS D.
- » Condition 2: Average queue length exceeds storage length.
- » Condition 3: 95th percentile queue length blocks upstream full access intersection.
- » Condition 4: 95th percentile queue length exceeds 500 feet on a stop-controlled approach.

» Condition 5: 95th percentile through lane queue blocks access to the turn lane bay.

All four study intersections were reviewed for queuing analysis. This includes the storage lengths of turn and through lanes. Special attention was given to the eastbound left turn lane queue experience for vehicles entering the proposed site along 2nd Ave.

Traffic Models

Traffic operations analysis was completed using *Synchro V10* software, which included geometry such as number of lanes, storage lengths, link distances, speed limits, traffic volumes, and existing signal timing plan. The results of the synchro analysis are displayed as *Measures of Effectiveness* (MOE). The primary MOEs that are used in the study are *delay* and *Level of Service* (LOS).

The following scenarios were modeled for the AM and PM peak periods:

- 1. No-Build Scenario "*No-Build*" refers to the conditions without the proposed development scenario. This option includes no geometric improvements at the proposed site accesses, and the existing traffic counts projected to the facilities opening year traffic volumes.
- Build Scenario "Build" refers to the conditions of the proposed development scenario. This option pertains
 to geometric improvements that include the addition of a westbound approach at the intersection of 3rd
 Ave N and 38th St N. The trips generated by the proposed site were included in the build scenario traffic
 volumes.

Traffic Operation Results

The traffic operation results for the *No-Build* and *Build* scenarios at the study intersections are illustrated in **Table 7**. For detailed synchro results, please reference **Appendix B**.

| Intersection | Scopario | Lev | el of Se | rvice (/ | AM Pe | ak) | Leve | el of Se | rvice (I | PM Pe | ak) | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----|----------|----------|-------|-----|------|----------|----------|-------|-----|--|
| intersection | Scenario | EB | WB | NB | SB | Int | EB | WB | NB | SB | Int | |
| | No-Build | В | - | Α | Α | В | В | - | Α | Α | В | |
| 3rd Ave N & 38th St N | Build | В | В | Α | А | В | C↓ | С | Α | Α | В | |
| and Ave N 8 20th St N | No-Build | В | С | Α | Α | В | В | С | В | В | В | |
| | Build | В | С | Α | B↓ | В | В | С | В | В | В | |
| | No-Build | С | С | D | D | С | В | В | С | С | С | |
| Central Ave & 38th St N | Build | D↓ | С | D | E↓ | D↓ | В | В | С | С | С | |
| and Ave Driveway | No-Build | Α | Α | - | - | NA | Α | Α | - | - | NA | |
| 2nd Ave Driveway | Build | А | Α | - | В | В | Α | Α | - | В | В | |

Table 7 - Traffic Operation Results 2027

NB – Northbound; SB – Southbound; EB – Eastbound; WB – Westbound; Int - Intersection

The southbound approach of Central Ave and 38th St N is expected to experience unacceptable *delay* and *LOS E* in the *AM Peak* under *Build* conditions. However, the overall intersection is expected to operate with acceptable *delay* and *LOS D*. All other intersections and their approaches are expected to operate with acceptable *delay* and *LOS with* no intersections operating worse than *LOS C* during the *peak* hours.

The following intersections and/or their approaches experience *LOS* drops from *No-Build* to *Build* conditions, but operates with acceptable *delay* and *LOS* in 2027:

- » The *eastbound* approach of the 3rd Ave N and 38th St N intersection will experience a LOS drop from *LOS B* to *LOS C* in *PM Peak*. The increase in *delay* between *No-Build* and *Build* scenario is 2.7 seconds per vehicle.
- » The *southbound* approach of the 2nd Ave N and 38th St N intersection will experience a LOS drop from *LOS A* to *LOS B* in *AM Peak*. The increase in *delay* between *No-Build* and *Build* scenario is 1.5 seconds per vehicle.
- » The intersection of Central Ave and 38th St N will experience a LOS drop from *LOS C* to *LOS D* in *AM Peak*. The increase in delay between *No-Build* and *Build* scenario is 4.4 seconds per vehicle.
 - The *eastbound* approach of the Central Ave and 38th St N intersection experiences a LOS drop from *LOS C* to *LOS D*. The increase in *delay* between *No-Build* and *Build* scenario is 2.7 seconds per vehicle.
 - The *southbound* approach of the Central Ave and 38th St N intersection experiences a LOS drop from *LOS D* to *LOS E*. The increase in *delay* between *No-Build* and *Build* scenario is 7.7 seconds per vehicle.

Queuing Results

Based on queuing analysis methodology previously identified, no significant queuing issues were identified in the No-Build and Build conditions. Existing storage space within the dedicated left-turn bays of 2nd Ave N were found to be adequate within the No-Build and Build conditions. See **Appendix B** for complete Synchro/SimTraffic queuing analysis result.

Access Spacings

The spacing between adjacent driveways is based on *stopping sight distance* described in the AASHTO Green Book 2018 7th Edition. The minimum driveway spacings for 30-mph and 25-mph roadway is 200 and 155 feet, respectively. The proposed locations of the accesses along 2nd Ave N and 38th St N is more than the minimum spacing requirements.

Warrant Analysis

TRAFFIC SIGNAL

The *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD) provides guidance and standards for the installation of traffic control methods. Intersection traffic control warrant analysis was conducted at the unsignalized study intersections. Based on the review of the traffic volume data collected at the intersections, it was determined that the projected traffic volumes warrant the installation of a traffic signal (meets Signal Warrant 1A) at the Central Ave and 38th St N intersection under *No-Build* and *Build* scenarios. The signal warrant analysis results are included in **Appendix C**.

TURN LANE

MDT has established guidelines for the consideration of turn-lanes at intersections. Turn lane needs at the proposed access via 2nd Ave N and 38th St N were analyzed according to MDT turn lane guidance. The projected 2027 *No-Build* and *Build* volumes for dedicated left turn or right turn lanes at the two accesses should *not* be considered.

Summary

This study has been prepared to evaluate the traffic impacts associated with the proposed development of the multifamily residential apartment complex in Great Falls, MT. The study investigated the *No-Build* and *Build* traffic operations in the transportation network near the proposed development. Key points of the study are summarized below:

Trip Generation

The proposed development is expected to generate 138, 177, and 1,961 vehicular trips in the daily *AM peak*, *PM peak*, and *daily*, respectively.

Traffic Operations

- The southbound approach of Central Ave and 38th St N is expected to experience unacceptable *delay* and *LOS E* in the *AM Peak* under *Build* conditions. However, the overall intersection is expected to operate with acceptable *delay* and *LOS*.
- » All other study intersections are expected to operate with acceptable *delay* and *LOS* during the year of opening, with no intersections operating worse than *LOS B* during the *peaks*.
- » Based on queuing analysis methodology previously identified, no significant queuing issues were identified in the No-Build and Build conditions.
- » Existing storage space within the dedicated left-turn bays of 2nd Ave N were found to be adequate within the No-Build and Build conditions

Safety Analysis

- » From 2016 to 2020, 22 crashes were reported at the intersection of 38th St N and 2nd Ave N.
 - Most crashes (27%) were observed during the AM peak
 - o Right angle crashes (50-percent) were the most prominent type of crashes
 - There were no fatal, serious injury, pedestrian, or bicycle related crashes
- » From 2016 to 2020, there were no crashes reported at the intersection of 38th St N and 3rd Ave N.

Recommendation

Re-evaluate Signal Warrant Analysis at Central Ave and 38th St N Intersection

The southbound approach of Central Ave and 38th St N is expected to experience unacceptable *delay* and *LOS E* in the *AM Peak* under *Build* conditions. However, the overall intersection is expected to operate with acceptable *delay* and *LOS*. The intersection is currently controlled by All-way Stops. The projected 2027 background traffic volume is expected to meet traffic signal warrant 1A. It is recommended to re-evaluate signal warrant analysis closer to the year of opening of the development with updated traffic volumes to evaluate installation of a traffic signal. In 2027, assuming signal warrants are met at the intersection of Central Ave and 38th Street N, it is expected that the signal control or a roundabout at the intersection could mitigate the operation issues identified and improve the intersection to acceptable operations.

Side Street Stop at the new proposed approach

The development's new proposed approach, aligned with 3rd Ave N on 38th St N, is recommended to be stop controlled and no other recommendations were deemed necessary.